IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO.5598 OF 2006

Anant Krupa Co-operative
Housing Society .. Petitioner.
Vs.
Joint Divisional Registrar,
Co-operative Society,
Konkan Division & Anr. .. Respondents.

Mr.S.M.Oak i/b Ms.N.V.Thatte for the petitioner.
Ms.Nita Karnik for respondent no.3.
Mr.A.H.Palekar AGP for the State.

CORAM : D.B.BHOSALE, J.

DATED : 23RD AUGUST, 2006

P.C.:

. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and respondent no.3 and learned A.G.P. for the respondent-State.

2. The petitioner has approached this Court under Article 226 and 227 of the Constitution of India when they have a remedy of the revision against the order impugned under section 154 of the Maharashtra Co-operative Societies Act, 1960. After having confronted with this Mr.Oak, learned counsel for the petitioner prays for withdrawal of the petition with liberty to file revision application before the appropriate authorities. The petition is allowed to

be withdrawn with liberty as prayed for.

3. At this stage Mr.Oak, learned counsel for the petitioner prays for limited protection to enable the petitioner to approach the revisional authority. Ms.Karnik, learned counsel for respondent no.3, however, submits that the order impugned has already been acted upon and the committee has taken charge on 5th June, 2006 and hence there is no question of granting any protection to the petitioner. As against this Mr.Oak submits that the record is still with the petitioner-society. I do not wish to express any opinion on this controversy and/or examine the merits of the contentions. In my opinion, the interest of justice would be met if the parties are directed to maintain status quo as of today for the period of two weeks only. Order accordingly. This order of status quo shall not be extended on any ground whatsoever. However, it will be open for the petitioner to seek appropriate relief in the revision. The revisional authority shall deal with the revision and application for interim relief that would be prayed for, in accordance with law and uninfluenced by the order of status quo granted by this order. The writ petition is, accordingly, disposed of.

(D.B.Bhosale, J.)